

Officials Report

Event	Carlisle city aquathlon	Date	23/03/14
Official	Dave Rigby		

1. Competitors Information

Excellent		Good	X	Adequate		Poor		Very Poor	
-----------	--	------	---	----------	--	------	--	-----------	--

Comments: The sport in action web site had all relevant details required about the event. The registration area also contained on the day information with course maps for all the routes

2. Registration Process

Excellent		Good	X	Adequate		Poor		Very Poor	
-----------	--	------	---	----------	--	------	--	-----------	--

: The registration was in a small room at the back of the swimming pool with all required information for the event, when I had a look in registration on a couple of occasions the competitors were seen to be flowing through the area without long queues , finding registration could have been made a little easier with larger printed signs rather than the small hand written ones used

3. Swim Organisation and Safety

Excellent	X	Good		Adequate		Poor		Very Poor	
-----------	---	------	--	----------	--	------	--	-----------	--

The swim was spread over four lanes with athletes seeded according to swim times, the lanes went either clockwise or anticlockwise to save collisions between lanes swimmers, there was a life guard present during the swim

4. Transition Area (including security)

Excellent	X	Good		Adequate		Poor		Very Poor	
-----------	---	------	--	----------	--	------	--	-----------	--

The area was only small and the athletes who were racing later were asked to set up after the first few waves had finished and removed their kit, this worked well with finished athletes removing kit promptly allowing others to set up in good time for their wave starts, With the area being small it only required one marshal and this also meant it was easy to manage from one point

5. Design and Signage of Courses

Excellent		Good	X	Adequate		Poor		Very Poor	
-----------	--	------	---	----------	--	------	--	-----------	--

The course for the children's race was kept to the school grounds and the tri star 1, 2 and 3 did the same number of run laps as their category which made it easy for them to remember how many laps to run , The adult race went out of the school on public footpaths around the school area, The finish area was situated away from transition which meant there was no congestion in either area enabling the race to flow

6. Drafting Situation

Excellent		Good		Adequate		Poor		Very Poor	
-----------	--	------	--	----------	--	------	--	-----------	--

Aquathlon not applicable

7. Marshals

Excellent	X	Good		Adequate		Poor		Very Poor	
-----------	---	------	--	----------	--	------	--	-----------	--

The marshals were all seen to be very friendly and helped the children and adults where ever possible, There was also adequate numbers of marshals to run the race in a safely and provide a good experience for competitors

8. Overall Safety of Event

Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Good	<input type="checkbox"/>	Adequate	<input type="checkbox"/>	Poor	<input type="checkbox"/>	Very Poor	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------	----------	--------------------------	------	--------------------------	-----------	--------------------------

The event was on the whole contained within the grounds of the academy which in turn made the event very safe, with site traffic kept away from the race area via barriers , there were two points of contact for first aid which to my knowledge weren't required

9. Response from Competitors

Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Good	<input type="checkbox"/>	Adequate	<input type="checkbox"/>	Poor	<input type="checkbox"/>	Very Poor	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------	----------	--------------------------	------	--------------------------	-----------	--------------------------

All the competitors I spoke with after the event had nothing but praise for the event with no complaints, this is one of the first children's events I have officiated without any problem parents which made officiating a pleasure which I feel was a reflection on the event its self, running problem free and no frustrations

10. Treatment of Officials and Marshals

Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/>	Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Adequate	<input type="checkbox"/>	Poor	<input type="checkbox"/>	Very Poor	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----------	--------------------------	------	-------------------------------------	----------	--------------------------	------	--------------------------	-----------	--------------------------

The marshal were looked after and provided with drinks and light refreshments

Further Comments:

A presentation was held shortly after the event when results were available

Please list any penalties, including details: **No Penalties given**

Number	Reason

Number of officials in attendance (including m/c officials): ONE

Number of competitors in the event (including DSQ and DNF): 135 children and youth 25 adults

Distance travelled to officiate the event: 200 mile round trip

To make further comments, please use a separate sheet and attach to the report.

Signed	<i>David N Rigby</i>	Date	25/03/14
--------	----------------------	------	----------

Copies to Triathlon England HQ, the appropriate Regional Official's Coordinator and the Event Organiser (within seven days of the event).

Report Checklist

This checklist is designed to act as a template to provide you with guidance when completing your race report. The use of this list is not mandatory but you may find it helpful to refer to.

Competitor Information		
Was the competitors information accessible before the event?	Yes	No
Were there maps provided on the information board for:		
• Transition	Yes	No
• Swim Course	Yes	No
• Cycle Course	Yes	No
• Run Course	Yes	No
Was the course explained to competitors?	Yes	No
Was the briefing content appropriate?	Yes	No

Registration		
Was there any delay processing competitors?	Yes	No
Were course maps displayed for competitor information?	Yes	No
Were course maps good quality and accurate?	Yes	No
Did registration open and close at the advertised times?	Yes	No

Swim Course		
Were there any impediments to competitor flow?	Yes	No
Were all swim buoys visible to competitors at all times?	Yes	No
Was there sufficient water safety craft and personnel?	Yes	No
Were wetsuits permitted? Add water temperature to Yes/No column	Yes	No
Did the race start at the advertised time?	Yes	No
Did the race have multiple waves?	Yes	No
• If yes, did all waves start at the advertised time?	Yes	No

Transition		
Did transition open and close at the advertised times?	Yes	No
Were competitors bikes and helmets checked before entering transition?	Yes	No
Was transition secure?	Yes	No
Did the design/size present a fair transition for all competitors?	Yes	No
Were the entry and exit points visible through signage?	Yes	No
Were marshal present to direct competitors and secure the area?	Yes	No
Were there cycle racks for all competitors?	Yes	No
Was each competitor allocated a numbered rack position?	Yes	No
Were there any impediments to the competitor flow?	Yes	No
Was a secure baggage area provided for competitors?	Yes	No
Was a change area provided for competitors?	Yes	No

Design and Signage of Courses		
Were there any impediments to the competitors flow?	Yes	No
Was there sufficient signage on the course?	Yes	No
Was the Traffic Management Plan appropriate for the course?	Yes	No
Were there sufficient marshals/police on the course?	Yes	No
Were there any unsafe areas on the course?	Yes	No
Was there a sweep/emergency vehicle on the course?	Yes	No
Was there sufficient crowd control, where needed?	Yes	No
Was the cycle course shared with the run course?	Yes	No

Drafting		
Were motorcycle officials present?	Yes	No
Was the feedback from competitors regarding drafting positive?	Yes	No

Marshals		
Were the marshals knowledgeable and effective?	Yes	No
Were the marshals effectively deployed?	Yes	No
Were there enough marshals for the size of the event?	Yes	No

Overall Safety of Event		
Was the safety of competitors maintained throughout?	Yes	No
Were there any elements of the courses/transition/the event area that gave cause for concern or are there any examples of good practice?	Yes	No
Was the safety of the spectators maintained throughout?	Yes	No

Response from Competitors		
Was the response from competitors positive?	Yes	No
Were there any common areas of praise or concern from competitors?	Yes	No

Treatment of Officials and Marshals		
How the event organiser treated the race officials and volunteers?	Yes	No